arXiv:math/9905118v1 [math.LO] 19 May 1999

REMARKS ON SETS RELATED TO TRIGONOMETRIC SERIES

TOMEK BARTOSZYŃSKI AND MARION SCHEEPERS

Abstract. We show that several classes of sets, like N_0-sets, Arbault sets, N-sets and pseudo-Dirichlet sets are closed under adding sets of small size.

Introduction

The goal of this paper is to prove the theorem below. In this first section we will introduce all necessary definitions. For more information we refer the reader to the survey paper [4].

Theorem 1. *Let X be a set of real numbers.*

1. *If A is an N-set and if $|X| < \mathfrak{t}$, then $A \cup X$ and $A + X$ are N sets.*
2. *If A is an N_0-set and if $|X| < \mathfrak{h}$, then $A \cup X$ and $A + X$ are N_0 sets.*
3. *If A is a D_σ set and if $|X| < \mathfrak{h}$, then $A \cup X$ and $A + X$ are D_σ sets.*
4. *If A is an A set and if $|X| < \mathfrak{s}$, then $A \cup X$ and $A + X$ are A sets.*
5. *If A is an H_σ set and if $|X| < \mathfrak{s}$, then $A \cup X$ is an H_σ-set.*

Note that part (1) strengthens the main result of [3], part (2) generalizes the result of Arbault and Erdös and (4) strengthens the result from [6].

Parts (1)-(4) of the following definition are classical. For more information see [1]. Parts (5) and (6) were introduced in [5].

Definition 2. *Suppose that $X \subseteq [0, 1]$.*

1. *X is an N set if there exists a sequence $\langle a_n : n \in \omega\rangle$ such that the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \cdot \sin(\pi \cdot n \cdot x)$ converges absolutely for all $x \in X$ and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n = \infty$.*
2. *X is an N_0 set if there exists an increasing function $f \in \omega^\omega$ such that the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sin\bigl(\pi \cdot f(n) \cdot x\bigr)$ converges absolutely for all $x \in X$.*
3. *X is an A (Arbault) set if there exists an increasing function $f \in \omega^\omega$ such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \sin\bigl(\pi \cdot f(n) \cdot x\bigr) = 0$ for every $x \in X$.*
4. *X is a D (Dirichlet) set if there exists an increasing function $f \in \omega^\omega$ such that the sequence $\langle \sin\bigl(\pi \cdot f(n) \cdot x\bigr) : n \in \omega\rangle$ converges uniformly to 0 on X.*
5. *X is a D_σ (pseudo Dirichlet) set if X is a countable union of D sets.*
6. *X is a B_0 set if there exists an increasing function $f \in \omega^\omega$ and a number $n_0 \in \omega$ such that for every $x \in X$, $\sum_{n \geq n_0} |\sin\bigl(\pi \cdot f(n) \cdot x\bigr)| \leq n_0$.*
7. *X is a B set if there exists a sequence of positive reals $\langle a_n : n \in \omega\rangle$ with $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n = \infty$ and there is a number n_0 such that for all $x \in X$, $\sum_{n>n_0}^{\infty} a_n \cdot |\sin(\pi \cdot n \cdot x)| \leq n_0$.*

It is easy to see that

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 42A20, 03E20, 04A20.

Key words and phrases. N-sets, N_0-sets, Arbault sets, Hardy sets, pseudo-Dirichlet sets.

The second author was partially supported by Idaho State Board of Education Grant 94-051.

The authors would like to thank L. Bukovský and M. Repický for their helpful comments.

Lemma 3. *X is a D_σ set iff there exists a sequence $\langle \varepsilon_n : n \in \omega\rangle$ converging to zero and an increasing function $f \in \omega^\omega$ such that*

$$\forall x \in X \ \forall^\infty n \ \left|\sin\bigl(\pi \cdot f(n) \cdot x\bigr)\right| < \varepsilon_n. \quad \square$$

For $x \in \mathbb{R}$ let $\llbracket x \rrbracket$ be the distance from x to the nearest integer. Note that $\llbracket x \rrbracket \leq |\sin(\pi \cdot x)| \leq \pi \cdot \llbracket x \rrbracket$. Therefore we can replace every occurrence of the function $\sin(\cdot)$ by $\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket$ in the definitions above.

Definition 4. *A set $X \subseteq [0,1]$ is an H (Hardy) set if there exist reals $a \in (0,1)$, $\varepsilon < 1/2$ and an increasing function $f \in \omega^\omega$ such that*

$$\forall x \in X \ \forall n \ \llbracket f(n) \cdot x - a \rrbracket < \varepsilon.$$

X is an H_σ set if X is a countable union of H sets.

The terminology H-set is due to Rajchmann, and is in honour of Hardy and Littlewood. It is well-known that an H-set has measure zero and is of the first category. A translation of an H-set is an H-set.

Lemma 5 (Eliáš [5])**.** *We have the following inclusions:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathsf{D} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathsf{D}_\sigma \arrow[d] & \\
\mathsf{B}_0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathsf{N}_0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathsf{A} \arrow[d] \\
\mathsf{B} \arrow[r] & \mathsf{N} \arrow[r] & P(\mathbb{R})
\end{tikzcd}$$

Moreover $\mathsf{A} \subset \mathsf{H}_\sigma$. $\square$

We need definitions of the following cardinal invariants:

Definition 6. *For $X,\ Y \subseteq \omega$ let $X \subseteq^\star Y$ denote that $X \setminus Y$ is finite.*

1. *$\mathfrak{p}$ is the least size of a family $\mathcal{A} \subseteq [\omega]^\omega$ such that for every $A_1, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{A}$, $A_1 \cap \cdots \cap A_n$ is infinite and there is no set $B \in [\omega]^\omega$ such that $B \subseteq^\star A$ for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$,*
2. *$\mathfrak{t}$ is the least size of a family $\{A_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\} \subseteq [\omega]^\omega$ such that $A_\alpha \subseteq^\star A_\beta$ for $\alpha \geq \beta$ and such that there is no set $B \in [\omega]^\omega$ such that $B \subseteq^\star A_\alpha$ for all $\alpha < \kappa$,*
3. *$\mathfrak{h}$ is the least size of a family $\{\mathcal{A}_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$ such that $\mathcal{A}_\alpha \subseteq [\omega]^\omega$ and*
$$\forall X \in [\omega]^\omega \ \forall \alpha < \kappa \ \exists A \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha \ \mathcal{A} \subseteq^\star \mathcal{X}$$
but there is no set $B \in [\omega]^\omega$ such that
$$\forall \alpha < \kappa \ \exists A \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha \ \mathcal{B} \subseteq^\star \mathcal{A}.$$
4. *$\mathfrak{s}$ is the least size of a family $\mathcal{A} \subseteq [\omega]^\omega$ such that for every set $B \in [\omega]^\omega$ there is an $A \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $|B \cap A| = \bigl|B \cap (\omega \setminus A)\bigr| = \aleph_0$.*
5. *$\mathfrak{b}$ is the least size of a family $F \subseteq \omega^\omega$ such that there is no $g \in \omega^\omega$ such that*
$$\forall f \in F \ \forall^\infty n \ f(n) < g(n).$$

Note that $\mathfrak{h}$ is the smallest size of a family of open dense subsets of $P(\omega)/\mathsf{fin}$ which has empty intersection.

It is well known that $\mathfrak{p} \leq \mathfrak{t} \leq \mathfrak{h} \leq \mathfrak{s}$, $\mathfrak{h} \leq \mathfrak{b}$ and consistently $\mathfrak{t} < \mathfrak{h}$, $\mathfrak{h} < \mathfrak{s}$ and $\mathfrak{h} < \mathfrak{b}$ (see [7] and [8]).

The following lemma shows that in Theorem 1 we need only be concerned with unions of sets.

Lemma 7. *Suppose that A, B are two nonempty sets of reals.*

1. *$A \cup B$ is an N set iff $A + B$ is an N set,*
2. *$A \cup B$ is an N_0 set iff $A + B$ is an N_0 set,*
3. *$A \cup B$ is a D_σ set iff $A + B$ is a D_σ set,*
4. *$A \cup B$ is an A set iff $A + B$ is an A set,*
5. *$A \cup B$ is an B set iff $A + B$ is an B set,*
6. *$A \cup B$ is an B_0 set iff $A + B$ is an B_0 set.*

Proof We will only prove part (1).

Suppose that $A + B$ is an N set. $A \cup B$ is contained in a translation of $A + B$ that is an N set.

To show that $A + B$ is an N set whenever $A \cup B$ is an N set use the fact that

$$\big|\sin(x+y)\big| = \big|\sin(x)\cos(y) + \sin(y)\cos(x)\big| \le \big|\sin(x)\big| + \big|\sin(y)\big|. \quad \square$$

Note that by a theorem of Marcinkiewicz (see [1], chapter 12.11), there are two N_0 sets A and B such that $A + B$ is not an N_0 set.

1. N SETS

In this section we will prove the first part of Theorem 1.

Suppose that A is an N set. Let $\{a_n : n \in \omega\}$ be a sequence of positive reals witnessing that. We will use the following notation: for $n \in \omega$, let $s_n = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i$ and $b_n = a_n/s_n$.

It is well known that $\sum_{n=0}^\infty b_n = \infty$. Let $\{q_n : n \in \omega\}$ be a sequence of integers such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} q_n = \infty$ and

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{s_n^{1+\frac{1}{q_n}}} < \infty.$$

Finally let $\varepsilon_n = s_n^{-1/q_n}$.

We will need the following easy lemma:

Lemma 8. *Suppose that Z is a finite set of integers, $\varepsilon > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Then there exists a set $Z' \subseteq Z$, $|Z'| \ge \varepsilon \cdot |Z|$ such that*

$$\forall i, j \in Z' \ [\![(i-j)x]\!] < 2\varepsilon.$$

Proof Follows immediately from the pigeon-hole principle. $\square$

Suppose that $X = \{x_\alpha : \alpha < \delta < \mathfrak{t}\}$ is a set of reals.

By induction we will build a sequence $\{\varphi_\alpha : \alpha \le \delta\}$ such that for all $\alpha \le \delta$:

1. $\varphi_\alpha : \mathsf{dom}(\varphi_\alpha) \longrightarrow [\omega]^{<\omega}$, $\mathsf{dom}(\varphi_\alpha) \in [\omega]^\omega$,
2. $\forall n \in \mathsf{dom}(\varphi_\alpha)\ \max(\varphi_\alpha(n)) \le s_n$,
3. $\forall k\ \lim_{n \in \mathsf{dom}(\varphi_\alpha)} |\varphi_\alpha(n)| \cdot \varepsilon_n^k = \infty$,
4. $\forall \beta > \alpha\ |\mathsf{dom}(\varphi_\beta) \setminus \mathsf{dom}(\varphi_\alpha)| < \aleph_0$,
5. $\forall \beta > \alpha\ \forall^\infty n \in \mathsf{dom}(\varphi_\beta)\ \varphi_\beta(n) \subseteq \varphi_\alpha(n)$,
6. $\sum_{n \in \mathsf{dom}(\varphi_\alpha)} b_n = \infty$,
7. $\forall^\infty n \in \mathsf{dom}(\varphi_\alpha)\ \forall i, j \in \varphi_\alpha(n)\ [\![(i-j)nx_\alpha]\!] < 2\varepsilon_n$.

Suppose for a moment that a sequence satisfying these conditions has been constructed. Let $\varphi = \varphi_\delta$. For $n \in \mathsf{dom}(\varphi)$ (sufficiently large) choose two distinct numbers $i_n, j_n \in \varphi(n)$. Consider the series $\sum_{n\in\mathsf{dom}(\varphi)} b_n[\![(i_n - j_n)nx]\!]$. We will show that this series converges for $x \in A \cup X$.

For $x \in A$,

$$\sum_{n\in\mathsf{dom}(\varphi)} b_n[\![(i_n - j_n)nx]\!] \leq \sum_{n\in\mathsf{dom}(\varphi)} b_n \cdot 2s_n \cdot [\![nx]\!] \leq 2 \cdot \sum_{n\in\mathsf{dom}(\varphi)} a_n \cdot [\![nx]\!] < \infty.$$

For $x \in X$ we get

$$\sum_{n\in\mathsf{dom}(\varphi)} b_n[\![(i_n - j_n)nx]\!] \leq \sum_{n\in\mathsf{dom}(\varphi)} 2b_n \cdot \varepsilon_n = 2 \sum_{n\in\mathsf{dom}(\varphi)} \frac{a_n}{s_n^{1+\frac{1}{q_n}}} < \infty.$$

Note that by reenumerating terms we can put the series $\sum_{n\in\mathsf{dom}(\varphi)} b_n[\![(i_n - j_n)nx]\!]$ in the form required by Definition 2(1).

Thus to conclude the proof it remains to construct the sequence $\{\varphi_\alpha : \alpha \leq \delta\}$.

Suppose that φ_β for $\beta < \alpha$ are given. We will describe how to find φ_α.

Case 1 α is limit. For $\beta < \alpha$ define $f_\beta \in \omega^\omega$ by

$$f_\beta(n+1) = \min\left\{k > f_\beta(n) : \sum\{b_n : n \in \mathsf{dom}(\varphi_\beta) \cap [f_\beta(n), k)\} > 1\right\} \text{ for } n \in \omega.$$

Since $\delta < \mathfrak{t} \leq \mathfrak{b}$, let $f \in \omega^\omega$ be an increasing function such that

$$\forall \beta < \alpha\ \forall^\infty n\ f(n) > f_\beta(n).$$

Let $I_n = \big[\widetilde{f}(n), \widetilde{f}(n+1)\big)$ for $n \in \omega$, where $\widetilde{f}$ is defined as: $\widetilde{f}(0) = f(0)$ and $\widetilde{f}(n+1) = f\big(\widetilde{f}(n)+1\big)$.

Note that

$$\forall \beta < \alpha\ \forall^\infty n\ \widetilde{f}(n) \leq f_\beta\big(\widetilde{f}(n)\big) < f_\beta\big(\widetilde{f}(n)+1\big) \leq f\big(\widetilde{f}(n)+1\big) = \widetilde{f}(n+1).$$

It follows

$$\forall \beta < \alpha\ \forall^\infty n \sum_{j\in I_n\cap\mathsf{dom}(\varphi_\beta)} b_j > 1.$$

Similarly, we can find a function $g \in \omega^\omega$ such that

1. $\forall k\ \lim_{n\to\infty} g(n)\varepsilon_n^k = \infty$,
2. $\forall \beta < \alpha\ \forall^\infty n \in \mathsf{dom}(\varphi_\beta)\ |\varphi_\beta(n)| \geq g(n)$.

For $n \in \omega$, let $F \in U_n$ if the following conditions are satisfied:

1. $F : \mathsf{dom}(F) \longrightarrow [\omega]^{<\omega}$, $\mathsf{dom}(F) \subseteq I_n$,
2. $\max(F(i)) \leq s_i$ for $i \in \mathsf{dom}(F)$,
3. $|F(i)| \geq g(i)\varepsilon_i$ for $i \in \mathsf{dom}(F)$,
4. $\sum_{i\in\mathsf{dom}(F)} b_i > 1/2$,
5. $\forall k \in \mathsf{dom}(F)\ \forall i, j \in F(k)\ [\![(i-j)kx_\alpha]\!] < 2\varepsilon_k$.

Notice that each set U_n is finite and that $U = \bigcup_{n\in\omega} U_n$ can be identified with ω.

For $\beta < \alpha$ define

$$X_\beta = \left\{F : \exists n\ \Big(F \in U_n\ \&\ \forall j \in \mathsf{dom}(F)\ F(j) \subseteq \varphi_\beta(j)\Big)\right\}.$$

It is clear that $|X_\gamma \setminus X_\beta| < \aleph_0$ when $\gamma \geq \beta$. Since $\alpha < \mathfrak{t}$ we can find a set X such that $X \subseteq^\star X_\beta$ for $\beta < \alpha$. We can assume that $X \cap U_n$ consists of at most one point. Let $\varphi'_\alpha = \bigcup X$. To obtain φ_α from φ'_α we proceed exactly as in the successor step below.

Case 2 α is a successor. To construct φ_α from $\varphi_{\alpha-1}$ (or from φ'_α above) we use Lemma 8. In particular, to get $\varphi_\alpha(n)$ we apply Lemma 8 to $Z = \varphi_{\alpha-1}(n)$ (or $\varphi'_\alpha(n)$) with $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_n$ and $x = x_\alpha$. Note that

$$|\varphi_\alpha(n)| \geq |\varphi_{\alpha-1}(n)|\varepsilon_n \overset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} \infty$$

or

$$|\varphi_\alpha(n)| \geq g(n)\varepsilon_n \overset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} \infty.$$

In either case φ_α satisfies the required conditions.

This finishes the proof of (1) of Theorem 1. Part (2) is proved similarly. $\square$

Definition 9. *Let $\mathfrak{t}'$ be the least cardinal κ such that there exists a family of sequences of positive reals $\{\langle a_n^\alpha : n \in \omega\rangle : \alpha < \kappa\}$ such that*

1. $\sum_{n=0}^\infty a_n^\alpha = \infty$ *for all* α,
2. $\forall \alpha \leq \beta\ \forall^\infty n\ a_n^\alpha \geq\ a_n^\beta$,
3. *there is no sequence of positive reals $\langle a_n : n \in \omega\rangle$ such that*
   - (a) $\forall \alpha\ \forall^\infty n\ a_n^\alpha \geq a_n$, *and*
   - (b) $\sum_{n=0}^\infty a_n = \infty$.

Notice that we proved that:

Corollary 10. $\mathfrak{t} = \mathfrak{t}'$.

2. N_0-SETS AND OTHER SETS.

To prove the remaining parts of Theorem 1 we need another lemma. For an infinite subset X of ω let $X(n)$ denote the n-th element of X.

Lemma 11. *Let x_0 be a real number and let Z be an infinite set of natural numbers. There exists an infinite set $X \subseteq Z$ such that for every infinite set $Y \subseteq X$,*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [\![\big(Y(n+1) - Y(n)\big) \cdot x_0]\!] < \infty.$$

Proof Let x_0 be a real number and let Z be an arbitrary infinite subset of ω. Define by induction a sequence $X_0 \supseteq X_1 \supseteq X_2 \cdots$ such that $X_0 \subseteq Z$ and

$$\forall n\ \forall i, j \in X_n\ [\![|i-j| \cdot x_0]\!] \leq \frac{1}{2^n}.$$

Suppose that X_n is already defined. Define $c : [X_n]^2 \longrightarrow 2$ so that:

$$c(i,j) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } [\![|i-j| \cdot x_0]\!] \leq 2^{-(n+1)} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

By Ramsey's theorem there exists an infinite set W such that c is constant on $[W]^2$. Note that by Lemma 8 we have $c(i,j) = 1$ for all $i, j \in W$. Put $X_{n+1} = W$.

Let $X \subseteq Z$ be such that $X(n) \in X_n$ for all n. Then X has the required properties. $\square$

Let Z and x_0 be as in the hypotheses of Lemma 11. Set

$$\mathcal{A}_{x_0} = \left\{ A \subseteq Z : \forall B \subseteq A\ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [\![\big(B(n+1) - B(n)\big) \cdot x_0]\!] < \infty \right\}.$$

Then Lemma 11 asserts that $\mathcal{A}_{x_0}$ contains a dense open subset of $P(Z)/\mathsf{fin}$, ordered by almost inclusion.

Lemma 12. *If A is an N_0 set and the infinite set $Z = \langle Z(n) : n \in \omega\rangle$ witnesses that, then for any infinite set $X \subseteq Z$ such that $\langle X(n+1) - X(n) : n \in \omega\rangle$ is increasing, the sequence $\big\langle X(n+1) - X(n) : n < \omega\big\rangle$, witnesses that A is an N_0-set.*

Proof Note that for every $x \in A$,

$$[\![\big(X(n+1) - X(n)\big) \cdot x]\!] \le [\![X(n+1) \cdot x]\!] + [\![X(n) \cdot x]\!]. \quad \Box$$

Proof of theorem 1(2)-(3) Let A be an N_0-set and let Z be an infinite set which witnesses this. Let $\{x_\alpha : \alpha < \delta < \mathfrak{h}\}$ be a set of real numbers. By Lemma 11, each of the sets $\mathcal{A}_{x_\alpha}$ contains a dense open subset of $P(Z)/\mathsf{fin}$.

Since $\delta < \mathfrak{h}$, we find for each $\alpha < \delta$ a set $A_\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_{x_\alpha}$ and an infinite subset B of Z such that $B \backslash A_\alpha$ is finite for each α. By further thinning out, we may assume that $\langle B(n+1) - B(n) : n < \omega\rangle$ is strictly increasing. But then, by Lemmas 11 and 12, the sequence $\langle B(n+1) - B(n) : n < \omega\rangle$ witnesses that $A \cup \{x_\alpha : \alpha < \delta\}$ is an N_0-set.

The proof of part (3) is similar. $\Box$

3. Arbault and Hardy sets.

To prove the last two parts of Theorem 1 we will use the following lemmas:

Lemma 13 (Booth [2])**.** *Suppose that X is a set of reals of size $< \mathfrak{s}$. Let $f_n : X \longrightarrow [0,1]$ for $n \in \omega$ be a sequence of functions. Then there exists a sequence $\langle n_k : k \in \omega\rangle$ such that $\langle f_{n_k} : k \in \omega\rangle$ converges pointwise on X.*

Proof For $q \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [0,1]$ and $x \in X$ let

$$A^<_{q,x} = \{n : f_n(x) \le q\} \text{ and } A^>_{q,x} = \{n : f_n(x) > q\}.$$

Let $Y = \langle n_k : k \in \omega\rangle$ be a set witnessing that $\{A^<_{q,x}, A^>_{q,x} : q \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [0,1], x \in X\}$ is not a splitting family. It is easy to see that this is a sequence of the sort we are looking for. $\Box$

We have the following analog of Lemma 12:

Lemma 14. *If A is an Arbault set and the infinite set $Z = \langle Z(n) : n \in \omega\rangle$ witnesses that, then for any infinite set $Y \subseteq Z$ such that $\big\langle Y(n+1) - Y(n) : n \in \omega\big\rangle$ is increasing, the sequence $\big\langle Y(n+1) - Y(n) : n < \omega\big\rangle$, witnesses that A is an Arbault set.*

Proof Use the fact that:

$$\big|\sin(x-y)\big| = \big|\sin(x)\cos(y) - \sin(y)\cos(x)\big| \le \Big|\sin(x)\Big| + \big|\sin(y)\big|. \quad \Box$$

Proof of theorem 1(4)-(5) Suppose that A is an Arbault set and $|X| < \mathfrak{s}$. Assume that sequence $Z = \langle n_k : k \in \omega\rangle$ witnesses that A is an Arbault set.

Let $f_k(x) = \sin(\pi \cdot n_k x)$ for $x \in X$. By Lemma 13 there exists a set $Y \subseteq Z$ such that the sequence $\Big\langle \sin\big(\pi \cdot Y(n) \cdot x\big)\Big\rangle$ converges for every $x \in X$.

In particular, $\langle Y(n+1) - Y(n) : n \in \omega\rangle$ witnesses that $A \cup X$ is an Arbault set.

Theorem 1(5) follows immediately from the fact that Arbault sets are H_σ sets. In other words, a set of size $< \mathfrak{s}$ is a Arbault set and so a H_σ set. The union of two H_σ sets is an H_σ set. $\Box$

References

[1] N.K. Bary, *A treatise on trigonometric series*, MacMillan Book Co., New York (1964), Translated by M.F. Mullins.

[2] D. Booth, *A Boolean view of sequential compactness*, Fundamenta Mathematicae **85** (1974), 99–102.

[3] Z. Bukovská and L. Bukovský, *Adding small sets to an N-set* (1993), preprint.

[4] Lev Bukovský, *Thin sets related to trigonometric series*, Israel Mathematical Conference Proceedings, Bar Ilan University (1992), pp. 107–119.

[5] Peter Eliáš, *Systematizacia tried malych mnozin suvisicich s absolutnou konvergenciou trigonometrickych radov*, 1993, diplomová práca, University P.J.Šafárik, Košice.

[6] N.N. Kholshchevnikova, *On the properties of thin sets for trigonemetric series and certain other series*, Dokl. Russian Acad. Sci. (1992), no. 4–6, 446–449.

[7] E. K. van Douwen, *The integers and topology*, Handbook of Set Theoretic Topology (Amsterdam) (K. Kunen and J. E. Vaughan, eds.), North-Holland, Amsterdam(1984), pp. 111–167.

[8] Jerry E. Vaughan, *Small uncountable cardinals and topology*, Open problems in topology (Amsterdam), North Holland, Amsterdam (1990).

Department of Mathematics, Boise State University, Boise, Idaho 83725
E-mail address: `tomek@math.idbsu.edu`

Department of Mathematics, Boise State University, Boise, Idaho 83725
E-mail address: `marion@math.idbsu.edu`